HALL INSTITUTE 1800 Colonial Dr., P.O. Box 119 Columbia, S. C. 29202 K-12 High School GRADES 39 Students ENROLLMENT Patricia W. Brown 803-898-1488 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Ronald L. Epps 803-231-7500 Vince Ford 803-231-7556 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: N/A Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A IMPROVEMENT RATING: N/A ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: z This school met 2 out of 5 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

Hall Institute 400°

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	N/A	N/A	No
2004	N/A	N/A	No

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	I	Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	45.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	9.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	45.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	N/A	N/A

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	N/A	N/A
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	N/A	N/A
Seniors who met the grade point average	N/A	N/A

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	1	N/A		
Number of Diplomas	0	N/A		
Rate	I/S	N/A		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate			
			n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	I/S	I/S	
Gender								
Male	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	I/S	N/A	
Female	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
African-American	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	I/S		
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	I/S	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	I/S	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Full-pay meals	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	I/S	N/A	

HSAP PERFORMANCE	BY GRU	1012							-
	Enrollment 1st	" Tested	% Below Baci.	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation
	Enrolly Day of 1	,	% Beloi	/ %	% P ₇₀	% Agv	% Profic	Perfor Objectifi	Partic
9	sn/Langua	ge Arts - 3	State Per	ormance	/ Objective	= 33.3%			
All Students	12	91.7	33.3	N/A	N/A	66.7	66.7	YES	NO
Gender									
Male	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/
Female	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/.
Racial/Ethnic Group		1 1/0		1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1 1/0	14
White	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
African-American	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
Disability Status Not Disabled	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Not Disabled Disabled	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	IN/. /
Migrant Status		1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Non-Migrant	12	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/
English Proficiency	12	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/
Non-Limited English Proficient	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status	12	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	11/
Subsidized meals	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/
Full-pay meals	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
	Mathemati	•	•	•	•	•		,	
All Students	12	83.3	33.3	33.3	N/A	33.3	66.7	YES	N
Gender	12	00.0	33.3	33.3	IN/A	33.3	00.7	I ILO	141
Male	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/
Female	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/
Racial/Ethnic Group	0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	14/71	14/
White	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/
African-American	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	i/
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	ı,
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	ı,
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/
Disability Status		1411		1411	1411	1411	1411		.,
Not Disabled	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Disabled	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Non-Migrant	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
English Proficiency	<u> </u>								
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/
Non-Limited English Proficient	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status	1								
Subsidized meals	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/
Full-pay meals	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

- I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I				1001001
SCHOOL PROFILE	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 39)				
Retention rate	22.9%	Up from 6.8%	18.1%	9.1%
Attendance rate	99.5%	Down from 100.0%	95.3%	96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	0.0% 24.1%	Down from 0.6% Down from 27.9%	0.3% 17.6%	5.8% 12.7%
Older than usual for grade	43.6%	Up from 30.2%	19.8%	9.8%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.0%	No change	0.0%	1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	0.0%	No change	0.0%	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/AV		N/A	53.8%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change N/A	0.4%	2.7% 3.6%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	N/A		1.9%	
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	N/A	N/A	257	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	N/A	N/A	15.4%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	N/A	N/A	63.4%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	97.1%	99.3%
Teachers (n= 9)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	77.8%	Down from 100.0%	46.5%	52.0%
Continuing contract teachers	77.8%	Down from 87.5%	77.8%	82.1%
Highly qualified teachers** Teachers with emergency or	71.4% 11.1%	N/A	83.1% 11.1%	89.5% 8.6%
provisional certificates				
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	90.3% 95.7%	Up from 87.5% Up from 94.9%	81.8% 94.9%	86.2% 95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$44,837	Down 2.6%	\$40,274	\$41,060
Prof. development days/teacher	5.0 days	No change	11.2 days	10.6 days
School				
Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from 2.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	6.6 to 1	Up from 6.1 to 1	17.3 to 1	26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	95.1% \$13,327	Up from 93.9% Up 2.6%	87.0% \$9,216	90.0% \$6,310
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	94.7%	Up from 92.3%	59.8%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	No change	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	43.0%	Up from 11.9%	81.7%	89.3%
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average	N/A	Average	Good
		Our District		ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		91.3%		.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty	/ schools**	90.3%		.1%
High, and fed to a least the first to the	*	State Objective		Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school*	•	65.0%	Y	es

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

95.3%

Yes

Student attendance in this school

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Hall School is a residential facility that serves students requiring inpatient mental health services. Unless medically unadvisable, students are expected to participate in educational activities which will prepare them to meet South Carolina learning standards and score as well as their abilities allow on state standardized tests. Each student has the opportunity to participate in a core course curriculum taught by certified teachers during his/her hospital stay. A special tutoring program manned by the teaching staff and a computer curriculum review program (Computer Curriculum Corporation Lab) are utilized to help students gain and/or maintain academic skills while hospitalized. The Staff at Hall School concentrates on finding ways to academically involve students who are experiencing a variety of disruptive life problems.

Since many of the Hall students are away from home under rather traumatic conditions, the Hall staff has worked hard to establish a surrogate parent program for report card reporting periods. Students choose a staff member to act as a surrogate parent and conference with the teachers about classroom progress or lack of progress. Since the initiation of the program, student achievement, as documented on report cards, has improved.

Our staff development focus for the 2004-2005 school year is to focus on training our teachers to effectively use principles of learning that will help us actively engage our students in academic pursuits and encourage them to become lifelong learners.

Patricia W. Brown, Principal

	EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
		Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
	Number of surveys returned	7	0	0				
	Percent satisfied with learning environment	71.4%	N/R	N/R				
	Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	71.4%	N/R	N/R				
	Percent satisfied with home-school relations	N/R	N/R	N/R				
*Only algorith grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.								